



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/766,550	01/28/2004		David Robison	CRS / 278 3112	
26875	7590	05/03/2006		EXAM	INER
WOOD, HE	RRON &	& EVANS, LLP	A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
2700 CAREV	V TOWE	R			
441 VINE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45202				3637	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/766,550	DAVID ROBISON					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Phi D. A	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be liod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the true, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	<u> February 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No eived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/766,550 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 3 " seam tape said portion" is confusing. Should it be " seam tape, said portion"?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leeuwenburgh (5935669).

Leewenburgh shows a method of rolling a membrane sheeting having a seam tape (16) applied only along a first edge of the membrane sheeting with a portion of the membrane sheeting having no seam tap, the portion (14) extending from the seam tape to a second edge comprising folding the portion of the membrane sheeting over upon itself to form a folded sheet wherein the portion of sheeting does not overlie any area of the membrane sheeting having seam tape adhered thereto (figures 1, 3), the seam tape is on a first surface of the membrane sheeting and the portion of the membrane sheeting is folded onto the first surface (col 2-3, lines 65-2 discloses the different possible location of the fold and the tape), rolling the membrane sheeting (col 2 lines 30-34), the seam tape is on a first surface of the membrane sheeting and the portion of the membrane sheeting is folded onto a second surface of the membrane sheeting, the sheeting

Application/Control Number: 10/766,550 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

having a first edge and a second edge, the portion of the membrane is folded toward the first edge with the second edge of the sheeting resting adjacent the seam tap (figure 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leeuwenburgh (5935669) in view of Cox et al (5654055).

Leeuwenburgh shows all the claimed limitation except for the membrane sheeting being EPDM.

Cox et al discloses backing sheeting being made of a variety of plastics including EPDM (col 6 lines 47-62).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Leeuwenburgh's structure to show the membrane sheeting being EPDM because it would provide a balance between compliance and clean removal as taught by Cox et al.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different folding configurations for tapes.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,550

Art Unit: 3637

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

5/1/06

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

La. ma